UNITED STATES DISTRICT CONTROL OF NE		
HOWARD D. REID,		
	Petitioner,	
V.		9:04-CV-178
ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF NEW YORK,		
	Respondent.	
THOMAS J. McAVOY		

Senior United States District Judge

## **DECISION and ORDER**

Petitioner, Howard D. Reid, commenced this action seeking habeas corpus relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to the Hon. Randolph F. Treece, United States Magistrate Judge, for a Report-Recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 72.3(c).

The February 14, 2008, Report-Recommendation recommended that the Court deny the writ of habeas corpus petition. In denying Petitioner's request, Magistrate Judge Treece concluded that the statute of limitations had run on Petitioner's claim. Report and Recommendation at 13. Further, the Magistrate found that the equitable tolling doctrine was not applicable and, thus, did not create an exception to the statute of limitations. Id.

Case 9:04-cv-00178-TJM-RFT Document 14 Filed 08/01/08 Page 2 of 2

Petitioner filed an objection to the Report-Recommendation, but noted only

that he "stand[s] by [his] papers pending before the Court." See Objection to Report

and Recommendations, Feb. 25, 2008.

When a Petitioner objects to a magistrate judge's Report-Recommendation,

the Court makes a "de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified

proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." See 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1). After such a review, the Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in

part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge. The judge may

also receive further evidence or recommit the matter to the magistrate judge with

instructions." Id.

Having reviewed the record de novo, the Court adopts the recommendation

of Magistrate Judge Treece for the reasons stated therein. Accordingly, Petitioner's

request of a writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED**.

A certificate of appealability should be issued when a petitioner "make[s] a

substantial showing of the denial of a federal right." Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880,

881-82; 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). Having failed to show that he has been denied a federal

right, any request for a Certificate of Appealability is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 1, 2008

Senior, U.S. District Judge

- 2 -